Exceptional service in the national interest





Regimes of suprathermal electron transport*

Michael E. Glinsky

*Work supported by a U.S. Department of Energy Distinguished Postdoctoral Fellowship, and was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract No. W-7405-ENG-48



Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-program laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000. SAND NO. 2016-5854C

Five simple scaling solutions





$$u_0 \equiv \frac{J_0}{en_c} \qquad T_0 \equiv m u_0^2$$
$$b_0 \equiv e^2 / T_0 \qquad 1 / \tau_0 \equiv n_c b_0^2 u_0 Z \ln \Lambda$$

Glinsky, Phys. Plasmas 2, 2796 (1995).



predicts:

fast ion generation magnetic field generation self similar plasma conditions E-field transport inhibition

Outline of presentation



- derivation of basic equations
- five regimes of superthermal electron transport:
 - free streaming, transient J.E
 - J.E
 - J.E to cold electron collisional drag transition
 - cold electron collisional drag
 - diffusive
- applications:
 - fast ion generation
 - magnetic field generation
 - self similar plasma conditions
 - E-field transport inhibition

Starting point for physics



Start with collisional Vlasov equation

$$\frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \bullet \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial \vec{r}} + \frac{Z_{\alpha}e}{m_{\alpha}}\vec{E} \bullet \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial \vec{v}} = \sum_{\beta} C(f_{\alpha}, f_{\beta})$$

using Fokker-Planck collision operator and three species

 $f_{i} = f_{0i}(v)$ (ions) $f_{c} = f_{0e}(v) + \hat{v} \cdot \delta \vec{f}(v)$ (cold electrons) $f_{h} = n_{h} g(\Omega) \delta(v - \sqrt{2T_{h}/m})$ (hot electrons)

Full moment equations



cold electron equations



$$\sigma \equiv \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\pi^{3/2}} \frac{T_c^{3/2}}{Ze^2 m_e^{1/2} \ln \Lambda} \qquad \mathbf{R}_h = -\left(\frac{m}{e \tau_{ch}}\right) \mathbf{J}_h$$
$$\tau_{ch} \equiv \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8 \pi} \frac{m_e^{1/2} T_h^{3/2}}{n_c e^4 \ln \Lambda} \qquad Q_h = \frac{3}{2} T_h n_h / \tau_{ch}$$
$$\kappa \equiv \frac{16\sqrt{2}}{\pi^{3/2}} \frac{T_c^{5/2}}{Ze^4 m_e^{1/2} \ln \Lambda}.$$

where

where

hot electron equations

$$m_{e}n_{h}\frac{d\mathbf{u}_{h}}{dt} + m_{e}\mathbf{u}_{h}\left(\frac{\partial n_{h}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_{h}\mathbf{u}_{h})\right) = -\nabla p - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Pi} - en_{h}\mathbf{E} - \frac{Z}{2}\frac{n_{h}\mathbf{u}_{h}}{\tau_{ch}}$$

$$(\text{momentum})$$

$$\Pi \equiv m_{e}n_{h}\left\langle \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{I}\frac{(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{h})^{2}/3\right\rangle$$

$$\Pi \equiv m_{e}n_{h}\left\langle \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{I}\frac{(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{h})^{2}}{3}\right\rangle$$

Reduced set of equations



now assume: 1. $n_c = \text{constant} \gg n_h$ 2. $T_h \gg T_c$ 3. $J = -J_h$ (quasi-neutrality) 4. $u_h \ll \sqrt{3T_h/m_e}$

which gives the following set of 1D equations:

 $E = \frac{e n_h u_h}{\sigma(T_c)}$ (cold electron momentum, Ohm's law)

 $\frac{3}{2}n_{c}\frac{\partial T_{c}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[\kappa(T_{c})\frac{\partial T_{c}}{\partial x}\right] + \frac{(en_{h}u_{h})^{2}}{\sigma(T_{c})} + \frac{3}{2}n_{h}T_{h}/\tau_{ch} \quad \text{(cold electron energy)}$

 $0 = T_h \frac{\partial n_h}{\partial x} + e n_h E + \frac{Z}{2} n_h m_e u_h / \tau_{ch} \qquad \text{(hot electron momentum)}$

 $\frac{\partial n_h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n_h u_h) = -\frac{(e n_h u_h) E}{3 T_h / 2} - n_h / \tau_{ch}, \quad \text{(hot electron energy)}$ $u_h \le \sqrt{3 T_h / m_e} \qquad \text{(hot electron flux limit)}$

Dimensionless equations



$$\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial t} = C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(T_c^{5/2} \frac{\partial T_c}{\partial x} \right) + \left(\frac{2A}{3} \right) T_c^{-3/2} \left(n_h u_h \right)^2 + \frac{2B}{Z} n_h T_h^{-1/2} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial n_h}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(n_h u_h \right) - \left(\frac{2A}{3} \right) \frac{T_c^{-3/2}}{T_h} \left(n_h u_h \right)^2 - \frac{2B}{Z} n_h T_h^{-3/2}$$
two coupled 1D nonlinear parabolic partial differential equations
$$n_h u_h^* = -T_h \frac{\partial n_h}{\partial x} \left(BT_h^{-3/2} + An_h T_c^{-3/2} \right)$$

$$u_h = \frac{u_h^* \sqrt{3T_h}}{u_h^* + \sqrt{3T_h}}$$

using these variables to scale the quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &\equiv \frac{J_0}{en_c} & T_0 \equiv m u_0^2 & A \equiv \pi^{3/2} / 4\sqrt{2} \\ b_0 &\equiv e^2 / T_0 & 1 / \tau_0 \equiv n_c b_0^2 u_0 Z \ln \Lambda & C \equiv 32\sqrt{2} / 3\pi^{3/2} \end{aligned}$$

and boundary conditions:

$$\frac{\partial T_c(x=0)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial T_c(x=\ell)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial n_h(x=\ell)}{\partial x} = 0 \qquad n_h u_h(x=0) = 1$$

7

Simple scalings







where the density and thermal scale lengths are defined as: $L_n \sim n_h / (\partial n_h / \partial x)$ $L_T \sim T_c / (\partial T_c / \partial x)$

Regimes determined by which terms dominate



		transient J•E	J•E	drag–J•E transition	drag	diffusion
	$T_c \sim$	t ^{2/5}	t ^{2/5}	t ^{2/5}	$T_h^{-1} \left(\frac{Z}{2B^2}\right)^{-1/2} t$	$T_h^{4/9} t^{2/9}$
	$L_n \sim$	$\left(3T_h\right)^{1/2}t$	$T_h^1 t^{3/5}$	$T_h^1 t^{3/5}$	$T_h^2 \left(rac{Z}{2B^2} ight)^{1/2}$	$T_h^2 \left(\frac{Z}{2B^2}\right)^{1/2}$
	$L_T \sim$	$\left(3T_h\right)^{1/2}t$	$T_{h}^{1} t^{3/5}$	$T_{h}^{1} t^{3/5}$	$T_h^2 \left(rac{Z}{2B^2} ight)^{1/2}$	$T_h^{5/9} t^{7/9}$
	$n_h \sim$	$\left(3T_{h}\right)^{-1/2}$	$T_h^{-1} t^{2/5}$	$\left(\frac{Z}{2B}\right)T_h^{1/2} t^{-3/5}$	$\left(\frac{Z}{2}\right)^{1/2} T_h^{-1/2}$	$\left(\frac{Z}{2}\right)^{1/2} T_h^{-1/2}$
	$t_{\min} \sim$	0	$\left(T_{h}/3\right)^{5/4}$	$T_h^{3/2}\left(rac{Z}{2B} ight)$	$T_h^{5/3} \left(\frac{Z}{2B^2}\right)^{5/6}$	$T_h^{13/7} \left(\frac{Z}{2B^2}\right)^{9/14}$
$\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial t} \sim$		J•E	J•E	drag	drag	diffusion
$\frac{\partial n_h}{\partial t} \sim$		advection	advection	advection vs. drag	advection vs. drag	advection vs. drag
1	$\tilde{L}_n \sim$	flux limit	cold electror resistivity	n cold electron resistivity	hot electron resistivity	hot electron resistivity

Example solutions





Comparison to LASNEX



 $3 \times 10^{17} \text{W/cm}^2, T_h = 100 \text{keV}, n_c = 0.24 \text{gm/cc}$



1D LASNEX with multigroup hot electron diffusion

APP: fast ion generation





momentum and energy exchange at surface:

$$\frac{1}{\text{area}} \quad \frac{dp_i}{dt} = (n_h v_h) (m_e v_h)$$
$$\frac{1}{\text{area}} \quad \frac{dE_i}{dt} = v_i \quad \frac{1}{\text{area}} \quad \frac{dp_i}{dt} \sim n_h T_h v_i$$

ion velocity from two expressions for ion energy:

$$\frac{n_h}{Z} (m_i v_i^2) d \sim \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\text{area}} \frac{dE_i}{dt} dt \sim n_h T_h d$$
$$v_i \sim \left(\frac{Zm_e}{m_i}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_h}{m_e}\right)^{1/2}$$

compare to rate of hot electron production:

$$\frac{dE_i / dt}{dE_h / dt} \sim \left(\frac{n_h}{n_c}\right) \left(\frac{T_h}{T_o}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{Zm_e}{m_i}\right)^{1/2}$$

use of n_h scaling gives:

$$\left(\frac{dE_i/dt}{dE_h/dt}\right)_{\max} \sim \left(\frac{Z}{2}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{Zm_e}{m_i}\right)^{1/2} \left[\left(\frac{T_h}{T_o}\right) \frac{1}{B^4 Z/2}\right]^{1/10}$$

$$1 \text{ps}, 10^{17} \text{W/cm}^2, 80 \text{ keV}, Z^* = 25 \text{ for Au}$$

	simple model	LASNEX
hydrogen	4 %	4 %
solid gold	12 %	11 %
hydrogen on solid gold	18 %	18 %
FOOÅ		

500A

APP: magnetic field generation



from Maxwell's equations:

$$\dot{B} = -c\nabla \times E \sim cE/L_s$$

from Ohm's law for cold electrons:

$$E = B_0 (AZ \ln \Lambda) (T_c/T_0)^{-3/2}$$
$$B_0 \equiv e^3 n_c/T_0$$

 $3 \times 10^{17} \text{W/cm}^2, T_h = 100 \text{ keV}, n_c = 0.24 \text{gm/cc}$ 10^2 simple scaling numerical solution 10^0 10^2 10^4 t (psec)



APP: similar plasma conditions

from Kruer & Eastbrook:

$$T_h \sim (I\lambda^2)^{1/3}$$

given base conditions, find:

$$I_s, \lambda_s \to n_s, \tau_s$$

$$n_s = n_c \left(\frac{I_s}{I}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\right)$$
$$\tau_s = \tau_{\text{pulse}} \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\right)^{-1}$$



condition	I (W/cm ²)	t _{pulse} (psec)	n _c (/cc)	T _h (keV)
A	10 ¹⁶	750	1022	200
В	10 ¹⁸	1	1023	200
С	1019	0.1	1023	1000
D	1019	1	1026	100
E	1015	100	1024	10
F	1016	750	1024	200



Sandia National Laboratories

APP: E-field transport inhibition

2% absorption of $3 \times 10^{15} \text{W/cm}^2$, $\tau = 100 \text{ ps}$, $\lambda = 1 \mu \text{m}$, $T_h = 14 \text{ keV}$



 $L_n \ll \min[(3T_h)^{1/2}t, (Z/2B^2)^{1/2}T_h^2] = L_{max}$ if E-field inhibited transport

Bond, Hares, Kilkenny, PRL **45**, 252 (1980). Beg et al., Phys. Plasmas **4**, 447 (1997). (for 10^{19} W/cm²) Sandia

National Laboratories

Acknowledgments



- collaborators:
 - Max Tabak
 - Bill Kruer
 - Jim Hammer
 - Rodney Mason
- U.S. Department of Energy Distinguished Postdoctoral Fellowship
- performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract No. W-7405-ENG-48